Preface and acknowledgments

This book has followed a lengthy period of gestation. The original stimulus for writing this volume was my experience five years ago directing the work of the democratic governance practice within the United Nations Development Program, where I was frequently confronted by the practical challenges of development. On many occasions, colleagues from related agencies and bureaus asked how the UN’s commitment to strengthen the institutions and processes of democratic governance contributed towards other urgent priorities facing the organization, from achieving the Millennium Development Goals to overcoming the challenge of enduring poverty, mitigating the effects of climate change and environmental degradation, peace-building and reducing the grievances leading to armed conflict, and combating HIV-AIDS. We were engaged in writing the UNDP’s strategic plan, which sought to demonstrate a coherent vision demonstrating how the work of all parts of the organization tied together. At the time, I, like many colleagues in the organization, remained frustrated that we struggled to provide a plausible response to these requests. Of course we offered anecdotal stories and illustrations from common practices, but little which could be regarded as conclusive evidence. How did improvements in electoral administration in Liberia, strengthening parliaments in Burundi, expanding the capacity of public sector management in Ukraine, or advising on anti-corruption strategies in Guatemala, actually help deliver clean water, or reduce hunger, or expand growth, or prevent humanitarian crisis? After a long period of reflection, this book seeks to provide at least a partial answer to these puzzling questions. I learnt a tremendous amount from these discussions with UNDP colleagues, especially Pauline Tamasis, and also from collaborating with many other international development agencies over the years, including the World Bank, NDI, UNESCO, International IDEA, the Council of Europe, the EU, the OSCE, and many others.

The intellectual foundations for this study also build upon my previous research. Earlier books have compared democratic institutions, culture, and processes, including studies about value change and societal modernization, public support for democratic principles and practices, patterns of political engagement and activism, the distribution of religious and secular values, women’s representation and gender equality, the impact of political communications and new digital technologies, and the design of power-sharing constitutions. As the next step, it seems timely and important to turn from analyzing the multiple causes of democratization to understanding some of the potential consequences.
I was also encouraged to do so by many Harvard students who have taken my classes on democracy and democratization over the years, as well as by colleagues from economics and other disciplines, who frequently urged me to address the consequences of political reform for achieving many other development objectives. In my classes, students learnt about theories of democratization and measures of the quality of democratic governance, the principles of electoral design, the options for power-sharing constitutions, the ways that countries reduce corruption and expand access to justice, and so on. They were curious to learn about these issues, but, they often asked, would democratic governance actually help confront the challenges they faced back home -- in Nigeria and Ghana, Burma and Pakistan, Afghanistan and Ethiopia, Mexico or Brazil? Would elections help overcome endemic problems of poverty and inequality? Would power-sharing reduce violence and instability? Would inclusive parliaments prove more responsive to social needs? Would good governance make development aid reach clinics and food banks rather than enriching the bank accounts of elites? They were natural skeptics. In many ways, based on my reading of the research literature, so was I.

Well, maybe, I usually responded. Possibly. Under certain conditions. But instead of puzzling about the instrumental consequences, I answered more confidently, democratic governance can and should be valued as an intrinsically good, in and for itself. Citizens should be able to choose their own representative governments, exercising the basic right to determine their own fates, irrespective of any impact upon other dimensions of development. After all, as specified in Article 21(3) of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights: “The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures.” Students often wanted to be convinced, but this answer was only partially persuasive. Many continued to express the hope that, as well as the intrinsic value, democratic governance would also deliver concrete instrumental benefits to improve people’s lives in the world’s poorest societies. Whether these connections can be demonstrated to skeptics is challenging, however, and irrespective of our personal values and beliefs, the empirical evidence deserves to be thoroughly and systematically examined, with an open mind about the final conclusions. I was still reluctant to go down this road, realizing how far this would force me to travel ill-equipped into disciplinary territories well beyond the familiar and comfortable tribal boundaries of comparative political science. Given my initial skepticism, and my commitment to democracy, I was also concerned that the evidence might run counter to my own values, providing fodder to support democracy’s critics and to prop up illiberal regimes. In many ways,
the journey has proved difficult but worthwhile, and this book reflects my long-delayed response to my students, colleagues, and UNDP practitioners.

Contemporary headlines around the world also reinforced the importance of understanding the issues considered in this book, not least the unfolding developments in the ‘Arab spring’. The Tunisian regime transition proved relatively peaceful, after ousted leader, Zine al-Abidine Ben Ali, fled in January 2011. Morocco introduced reforms to the monarchy and held elections in late-2011 where the moderate Islamist Justice and Development Party (PJD) won the most seat. By contrast, elsewhere in the region, the events toppling the regime of President Mubarak in Egypt were characterized by sporadic outbreaks of violence and street protests, before the Muslim Brotherhood led in the first post-transition elections. Libyans experienced an outright civil war, Yemen saw prolonged instability, unrest has simmered in Jordan, while brutal suppression of protest movements occurred in Syria and Bahrain. These events, like the transformation of post-Communist Central and Eastern Europe in the early-1990s, have been carried out in the name of promoting democracy, although survey evidence suggests that this type of regime may be desired for its assumed instrumental consequences, and thus the potential benefits for growth and peace, as much as for its intrinsic value.

The final catalyst for this project arose from participating in the American Political Science Association Taskforce on Indicators of Democracy and Governance, under the leadership of Henry Brady and Michael Coppedge. Meetings in the University of California, Berkeley, and the Annenberg Public Policy Center in Philadelphia, and continuing our debates in floods of emails, forced us all to think harder about the core concepts and measures in this subfield. A broad consensus was quickly established about concepts and standard indices of liberal democracy, but our search to identify equally coherent ideas and measures of good governance proved more challenging and frustrating.

As always, this book also owes immense debts to many friends and colleagues. Research for the project was generously supported by the award of the Kathleen Fitzpatrick Australian Laureate from the Australian Research Council, for which I am immensely grateful. The project also draws heavily upon the work of the Quality of Governance (QoG) Institute at the University of Gothenburg, including their shared datasets and ideas generated at an early workshop at the Institute. The theme of the book started to be developed following conversations over the years with colleagues in Harvard’s Kennedy School of Government and the Department of Government at Harvard University. I also greatly appreciate the academic hospitality offered by visiting the Department of Government and international Relations at the University of Sydney, and I am deeply indebted to Michael Spence, Duncan Ivison and
Simon Tormey for facilitating the arrangement, as well as to all colleagues in the department. Sydney provided a welcoming home for completing the book manuscript.

I am also most grateful to all colleagues and friends who provided encouraging comments on this project during its gestation, including Michael Coppedge, Ivor Crewe, Larry Diamond, David Ellwood, Francis Fukuyama, Graeme Gill, Ben Goldsmith, Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, Simon Hug, Elaine Kamarck, Danny Kaufmann, John Keane, William Keech, Phil Keefer, Alex Keysaar, Stephen Krasner, David Laitin, Margaret Levy, Jane Mansbridge, Lant Pritchett, Robert Putnam, Richard Rose, Bo Rothstein, and Jan Teorell. I also always appreciate the invaluable help and assistance from Camiliakumari Wankaner at HKS. I received invaluable feedback from presentations of draft chapters at various professional meetings, including the Western Political Science Association meeting in San Francisco in 2010, faculty seminars at Harvard’s Kennedy School, the European Consortium Joint Workshops in St. Gallen in April 2011, seminars in the Department of Politics at the University of Brisbane and the University of Queensland in May 2011, the conference on Democracy in East Asia and Taiwan in Global Perspective held in Taipei, Taiwan In August 2011, and annual meetings of the American Political Science Association held in D.C. in September 2010 and in Seattle in September 2011. Finally, as always, the support of Cambridge University Press has proved invaluable, particularly the patience, efficient assistance and continuous enthusiasm of my editor, Lew Bateman, as well as the helpful comments of the reviewers.